



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,961	03/22/2004	Jong-Whan Cho	21C-0119	2751
23413 7590 06/04/2009 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 20 Church Street 22nd Floor Hartford, CT 06103				
EXAMINER CHOWDHURY, AFROZA Y				
ART UNIT 2629		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 06/04/2009		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/805,961

**Applicant(s)**

CHO ET AL.

**Examiner**

AFROZA Y. CHOWDHURY

**Art Unit**

2629

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AFROZA Y. CHOWDHURY.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) JOHN W. STANKIEWICZ.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: May and Colgan.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner did not receive any fax regarding proposed amendment. At this point, arguments of Applicant's representative is not persuasive and the Examiner maintains her rejection. Applicant's representative will send the proposed amendment by fax again for review.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Afroza Y Chowdhury/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Bipin Shalwala/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629